

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,918	12/09/2003	Francesco Grilli	000350D1	5799
23696 7590 07/12/2007 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR.			EXAMINER	
			ODOM, CURTIS B	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2611		2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/731,918	GRILLI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Curtis B. Odom	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
_	6/2007	
_	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		itters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
·	and quayro, roco o.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		hy the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<del>-</del> · · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Bure	•	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	·	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _	

Application/Control Number: 10/731,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 4/26/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 under 35 U.S.C 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Papasakellariou et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 275, 483) as shown below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (previously cited in Office Action 1/26/2007) in view of Papasakellariou et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 275, 483).

Regarding claim 1, Taylor discloses a remote terminal in a communication system (see Fig. 1), comprising:

Art Unit: 2611

a first receiver (Fig. 1, block 24, column 4, lines 26-30) operative to receive and process, a received signal; and

a rake receiver coupled to the first receiver and operative to receive and process the received signal, wherein the rake receiver (see Fig. 1, block 28) includes a plurality of finger processors (see Fig. 1, elements 44-1-4, see column 4, lines 26-37), wherein a first set of one or more finger processors (elements 44-2-4) is assigned to a first set of one or more base stations (base station 14) in active communication (in an active set) with the remote terminal (as described in column 5, lines 6-18), wherein a second set of one or more finger processors (element 44-1) is assigned to a second set of one or more base stations (base station 16) not in active communication (in active set) with the remote terminal (see column 5, lines 40-49). However, Taylor does not disclose the rake receiver is operative to provide time measurements indicative of times of arrival of transmissions received at the remote terminal from a plurality of base station, wherein finger processors assigned to base stations in the first and second sets are operative to perform the time measurements on the transmissions received from the base stations.

However, Papasakellariou et al. discloses a rake receiver (see column 2, lines 26-37) in including rake fingers which provide time measurements indicative of times of arrival of transmissions received at the remote terminal from a plurality of different base stations (see column 2, lines 40-62), wherein the rake fingers are assigned to demodulate signals from the different base stations (paths) as described in column 2, lines 26-31. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the receiver of Taylor to measure time of arrivals of multiple base station signals as disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 10/731,918

Art Unit: 2611

Papasakellariou et al. since Papasakellariou et al. states this method allows for fast identification of pilot paths originating from any transmitting base station (see column 1, lines 12-16).

Regarding claim 2, Papasakellariou et al. discloses the identifying the arrival time of each signal by demodulation (see column 2, lines 57-67), wherein the demodulation takes place in the same time instance using rake fingers (see column 2, lines 26-31). It would have been obvious to include this feature since Papasakellariou et al. states this method allows for fast identification of pilot paths originating from any transmitting base station (see column 1, lines 12-16).

Regarding claim 4, Papasakellariou et al. discloses the time of arrival measurements are based on transmissions on a particular frequency band by different base stations as described in column 1, lines 21-26). It would have been obvious to include this feature since Papasakellariou et al. states this method allows for fast identification of pilot paths originating from any transmitting base station (see column 1, lines 12-16).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (previously cited in Office Action 1/26/2007) in view of Papasakellariou et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 275, 483) as applied to claim 1, and in further view of La Rosa (previously cited in Office Action 1/26/2007).

Regarding claim 3, Taylor and Papasakellariou et al. do not disclose the arrival time measurement is based on an earliest arriving multipath received for the base station.

However, La Rosa discloses a rake receiver (see Fig. 1) comprising of an ADC (see Fig. 1, block 110) for producing samples (rays) and fingers which include time tracking circuits for controlling the time position of the finger in accordance with the time positions of a received ray (from a base station), see column 7, lines 6-16). The tracking circuit determines the arrival time

Application/Control Number: 10/731,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

position of the ray and adjusts the finger based on whether the ray was received early or late (see column 7, line 64-column 8, line 9). La Rosa further discloses by comparing the time positions of two fingers, the time separation between two arriving rays is known (see column 7, lines 61-63). La Rosa further discloses the time tracking for each ray is based on the first received multipath ray assigned to the first finger (see column 4, lines 35-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fingers of Taylor and Papasakellariou et al. to track the timing of rays based on the first received multipath ray as disclosed by La Rosa since La Rosa states this method (time tracking) exploits channel diversity (see column 11, lines 7-11).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-8 are allowable over prior art references.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,918 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Curtis Odom July 8, 2007